A glycerol balance of the same kettle used for illustration in Table I is shown in Table II. The discrepancy between the incoming and outgoing glycerol is less than 1%, which falls within the experimental error of sampling, material weighing, and chemical analyses. Somewhat less spent lye was taken off the first change than is normal, but this deficiency was compensated for in the second change when a larger than normal lye was taken off.

The percentage of glycerol left in the neat soap, 0.35%, represents a loss of nearly 5% of the glycerol actually available from the fats and oils charged to the kettle. This is equivalent to a kettle recovery of about 95%.

Conclusions

1. Glycerol distribution in the curd and lye layers approaches a ratio, as the electrolyte strength increases, in which the glycerol concentration in the water of the lye layer is about 1.3 times the glycerol

concentration in the water of the curd layer. A high distribution ratio is conducive to a more effective glycerine recovery.

2. Conditions for the most favorable glycerol distribution ratio are vigorous boiling on a hard grained curd for a sufficient length of time to assure thorough mixing.

3. The yield of glycerol from the kettle was 95%exclusive of that in the neat soap.

Acknowledgment

The author expresses appreciation for the analytical work performed by J. P. Pettid.

REFERENCES

Ferguson, R. H., Oil and Soap, 14, 115-8.
 Wigner, J. H., Soap Manufacture, Chemical Publishing Co., New York, 1940.

[Received October 4, 1948]

The Determination of Borax in Soap

RUBIN BERNSTEIN and MARTIN HAFTEL, Industrial Test Laboratory, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Philadelphia, Pa.

T has been found in this laboratory that the method for the determination of borax contained in a

Federal Specification (1) and also in the Official Methods of the American Oil Chemists' Society (2) is both very lengthy and of unsatisfactory accuracy. The procedure involves fusing the borax-soap with sand and soda ash, solution of the fused mass in dilute acid, repeated refluxing with calcium carbonate, filtration, and titration of the filtrate with standardized alkali in the presence of mannitol or neutral glycerol to the phenolphthalein endpoint.

Blank and Troy (3) have devised a method which is claimed to be superior to the above procedure. It depends on removal of the soap by acidification and extraction of the fatty acids released, followed by quantitative precipitation of any soluble silicates, carbonates, and ortho-phosphates present with excess strontium chloride solution. Strontium metaborate is soluble under these conditions and is converted to boric acid by acidification of the filtrate, which is then titrated in the usual way in the presence of mannitol or glycerol.

Consistently low results and poor reproducibility have been obtained with the Blank and Troy method in this laboratory. It is believed that these low results are due, at least in part, to mechanical loss of borax during the removal of soap fatty acids by several extractions with petroleum ether. It was found that the soap can be quickly and completely removed by precipitation with the same precipitating reagent, strontium chloride, used to precipitate silicates, carbonates, and phosphates. In this way soap and interfering alkalies are removed simultaneously. Another refinement in the Blank and Troy method was the substitution of methyl purple indicator (4) for methyl red in adjusting the acidity of the solution prior to the final titration. Methyl purple gives a sharper endpoint than methyl red and thus is espe-

TABLE I Determination of Borax in Soap-Borax Mixtures by Federal Specification Method (1)

Sample No.	Soap- Borax Ratio ¹	Borax Added (Grams)	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation
1A 1B 1C	² 	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0020 \\ 0.0022 \\ 0.0024 \end{array}$		·····.	
2A 2B 2C	19:1 19:1 19:1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2623 \\ 0.2536 \\ 0.2545 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2207 \\ 0.2416 \\ 0.2138 \end{array}$	$84.1 \\ 95.3 \\ 84.0$	87.8 	5.0
3A 3B 3C	$3:1 \\ 3:1 \\ 3:1 \end{bmatrix}$	$1.2857 \\ 1.2833 \\ 1.2871$	$1.1527 \\ 1.1886 \\ 1.1506$	$89.7 \\ 92.6 \\ 89.4$	90.6 	1.4
4A 4B	$1:3 \\ 1:3$	$3.8479 \\ 3.8292$	$3.6223 \\ 3.5646$	$\begin{array}{c} 94.1\\93.1\end{array}$	93.6 	0.5
5A 5B 5C	⁸ 	$5.1309 \\ 5.1180 \\ 5.1077$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.8306 \\ 4.8418 \\ 4.8297 \end{array}$	$94.2 \\ 94.6 \\ 94.6$	94.5 	0.2

² Samples marked 1 are all soap and contain no borax. ³ Samples marked 5 are all borax and contain no soap.

cially desirable with low borax samples. It was also found desirable to obtain complete removal of dissolved carbon dioxide from the solution before the final titration.

Analyses of soap-borax mixtures of varying ratios of soap to borax were conducted using the federal specification procedure. the Blank and Troy method, and the method described below. Soap-borax mixtures containing either trisodium phosphate or sodium metasilicate were also analyzed by these methods to determine the effect of the presence of other alkaline salts.

Materials and Reagents

The following materials were used in this study: Borax, C. P. $(Na_2B_4O_7 \cdot 10H_2O)$, was assayed by a mannitol titration method given in Scott (5) and found to contain the equivalent of 102.5% Na₂B₄O₇. 10H₂O; this value was verified by dehydrating a

TABLE II Determination of Borax in Soap-Borax-Alkali Mixtures by Federal Specification Method (1)

Soap- Borax- Alkali Ratio ¹	Borax Added (Grams)	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation
3:9:1	3.4590	3.4300	99.2	100.9	1.2
3:9:1	3.4530	3.5333	102.3		
3:9:1	3.4624	3.5090	101.3		
$2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9240 \\ 1.9272 \\ 1.9246 \end{array}$	$2.7404 \\ 2.8038 \\ 2.6702$	$142.4 \\ 145.4 \\ 138.8$	142.2 	2.3
$3:9:1 \\ 3:9:1$	$3.4593 \\ 3.4524$	$3.1811 \\ 3.0979$	91.9 89.7	90.7	0.8
3:9:1	3.4614	3.1343	90.6		
2:3:3 2:3:3 2:3:3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9216 \\ 1.9230 \\ 1.9234 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7753 \\ 1.7675 \\ 1.7150 \end{array}$	92.4 91.9 89.2	91.2 	1.3
	Soap- Borax- Alkali Ratio ¹ 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 2:3:3 2:3:3 2:3:3 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:1 3:9:3 3:9:1 3:9:3 3:3 3	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

marked 3 and 4 contain sodium metasilicate, pentahydrate. samples

sample at 1800°F. and converting the percentage of anhydrous borax obtained to borax containing 10 water molecules of hydration (6).

Soap, high-titer, neutral (88-92% soap) Sodium metasilicate, technical $(Na_2SiO_3 \cdot 5H_2O)$ Trisodium phosphate, C. P. $(Na_3PO_4 \cdot 12H_2O)$

The following reagents are required for use in this procedure:

Hydrochloric acid, 1:1

Mannitol, C. P.

Methyl purple indicator (obtainable from most laboratory supply companies)

Phenolphthalein indicator, 1% in 95% ethyl alcohol

Sodium hydroxide solution, 25% by weight, carbonate-free Sodium hydroxide solution, standard, 0.05N or 0.1N

Strontium chloride (SrCl₂·6H₂O), 33¹/₃% by weight, or

strontium nitrate [Sr(NO₃)₂], 26.5% by weight

Procedure

Accurately weigh a 5-gram sample into a 400-ml. beaker, add approximately 200 ml. of distilled water and warm on the steam bath until the sample has dissolved. Cool the solution to room temperature, transfer quantitatively to a 250-ml. volumetric flask, and make up to volume. A drop or two of ethyl alcohol will destroy any foam in the flask and will facilitate making the solution up to mark. Pipette

TABLE III							
Determination of Borax in Soap-Borax Mixtures Blank and Troy Method (3)	by						

Sample No.	Soap- Borax Ratio	Borax Added (Grams) ¹	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation
1A 1B	²	$0.0000 \\ 0.0000$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0139 \\ 0.0157 \end{array}$		•••••	
2A 2B 2C	19:1 19:1 19:1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1025 \\ 0.1014 \\ 0.1016 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0855 \\ 0.0828 \\ 0.0818 \end{array}$	$83.4 \\ 81.7 \\ 80.5$	81.9 	1.0
3A 3B 3C	$3:1 \\ 3:1 \\ 3:1 \\ 3:1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5189 \\ 0.5124 \\ 0.5113 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4864 \\ 0.4869 \\ 0.4884 \end{array}$	93.7 95.0 95.5	94.7 	0.7
4A 4B 4C	$1:3 \\ 1:3 \\ 1:3$	$1.5502 \\ 1.5436 \\ 1.5390$	$1.2712 \\ 1.2031 \\ 1.3637$	$82.0 \\ 78.0 \\ 88.6$	82.9 	3.8
5A 5B 5C	³	$2.0448 \\ 2.0534 \\ 2.0490$	$1.6100 \\ 1.9665 \\ 1.8449$	78.8 95.8 90.0	88.2	6,3

¹ Represents weight of borax in a 200-ml. aliquot of the sample.
 ² Samples marked 1 are all soap and contain no borax.
 ³ Samples marked 5 are all borax and contain no soap.

an aliquot, conforming to the following table, into a 250-ml. beaker:

Estimated borax, %	Aliquot, ml
0-10	100
10-50	50
50-100	25

Add enough distilled water to make the volume in the beaker approximately 100-ml. (Note: Distilled, carbon dioxide-free water should be used throughout this procedure.)

Add 2 ml. of 25% sodium hydroxide solution, heat the solution almost to boiling, and add, with stirring, 10 ml. of either the strontium chloride or strontium nitrate solution to precipitate the soap and any phosphate or silicate which may be present. Heat the solution for an additional five minutes, stirring frequently and avoiding vigorous boiling.

Filter through a No. 41 Whatman paper, collecting the filtrate in a 400-ml. beaker. Wash the precipitate thoroughly with hot, distilled water (approximately 100 ml.).

Make the filtrate and washings just acid to methyl purple indicator with 1:1 hydrochloric acid and add 0.5 ml. of acid in excess. Place a cover glass on the beaker and heat to simmering temperature for 10 minutes.

Cool the solution in a cold water bath to room temperature and rinse the watch glass into the beaker. Titrate with 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution to the methyl purple endpoint (bright green). Record the burette reading.

	TABLE IV	
Determination	of Borax in Soap-Borax-Alkali Mixtures by Blank and Troy Method (3)	

Sample No.	Soap- Borax- Alkali Ratio ¹	Borax Added (Grams) ²	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation	
1 4	3.9.1	1 3903	1 3476	96.9	92.5	49	
1B	3:9:1	1.3771	1.3143	95.4			
ĩō	3:9:1	1.3890	1.1834	85.2			
2A 2B 2C	$2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 \\ 2:3:3 $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7671 \\ 0.7649 \\ 0.7636 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6330 \\ 0.6288 \\ 0.5951 \end{array}$		80.9 	1.9 	
3.4	3:9:1	1.3836	1.2675	91.6	90.0	3.7	
3B	3:9:1	1.3850	1.1693	84.4			
3C	3:9:1	1.3827	1.2982	93.9			
4A 4B 4C	2:3:3 2:3:3 2:3:3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7680 \\ 0.7627 \\ 0.7694 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5072 \\ 0.5753 \\ 0.5265 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 66.0 \\ 75.4 \\ 68.5 \end{array} $	70.0	3.6	

¹Samples marked 1 and 2 contain trisodium phosphate; samples marked 3 and 4 contain sodium metasilicate, pentahydrate. ²Represents weight of borax in a 200-ml. aliquot of the sample.

Add 1 ml. of phenolphthalein indicator and 5 grams of mannitol and titrate to a definite reddish pink with 0.05N or 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution depending on the percentage of borax expected. Add 1 gram of mannitol, stir, and continue the titration if the color of the solution reverts to green. When the phenolphthalein endpoint has been reached once more, add an additional gram of mannitol and proceed as before. The endpoint is reached when the addition of mannitol at the phenolphthalein endpoint does not change the color of the solution back to green and an additional drop of alkali increases the pink color considerably.

A blank is conducted using the same weight of mannitol as that used in the analysis. Dissolve mannitol in a volume of boiled, distilled water corresponding to the volume of solution at the end of the titration. Add methyl purple indicator and make the solution just acid with 1:1 hydrochloric acid. Titrate with 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution to the methyl purple endpoint. Add 1 ml. of phenolphthalein indicator and titrate with 0.05N or 0.1N sodium hydroxide to the phenolphthalein endpoint. The blank is the volume of alkali used between the two endpoints. Generally the blank does not exceed 0.1 ml.

Results of the titration are calculated as follows:

$$Na_2B_4O_7 \cdot 10H_2O$$
, % = $\frac{(A - B) \times N \times 9.536}{(C/250) \times D}$, where
A = ml. standard alkali to titrate sample,
B = ml. standard alkali to titrate blank,

C = weight of sample,

D = ml. of aliquot taken, and

N = normality of standard alkali.

Results

Results of analyses are given in Tables I to VI, inclusive. Tables I and II illustrate the low and erratic results obtainable with the federal specification method. The Blank and Troy method, results for which are presented in Tables III and IV, also give low results of poor reproducibility. Results by the

TABLE V								
Determination	of Borax in Scap-Borax Mixtures ITL ² Method	by						

Sample No.	Soap- Borax Ratio	Borax Added (Grams)	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation
1A	19:1	0.1032	0.1041	100.9	99.6	0.9
1B	19:1	0.1051	0.1040	99.0		
10 -	19:1	0.1036	0.1025	98.9		
2A	3:1	0.2553	0.2589	101.4	101.1	0.3
2B	3:1	0.2569	0.2584	100.6		
2C	3:1	0.2573	0.2604	101.2		
3A	1:3	0.3833	0.3833	100.0	100.0	0.4
3B	1:3	0.3851	0.3828	99.4	10010	
3C	1:3	0.3864	0.3886	100.6		
4A	²	0.4112	0.4118	100.1	100.3	0.2
4B		0.4069	0.4089	100.5		
4C		0.4106	0.4115	100.2	I	

¹ Industrial Test Laboratory. ² Samples marked 4 are all borax and contain no soap.

Industrial Test Laboratory method given in Tables V and VI show essentially complete recovery of borax in soap-borax mixtures of varying ratios of soap to borax. The reproducibility of the method is considered good. In mixtures containing trisodium phosphate or sodium metasilicate, the method also gives satisfactory recovery except for samples containing more than about 10% of silicate. Low recovery of borax in samples containing much silicate is probably due, as previously pointed out by Blank and Troy, to occlusion of borax by the voluminous strontium silicate precipitate. Samples 4A, 4B, and 4C

TABLE VI Determination of Borax in Soap-Borax-Alkali Mixtures by ITL¹ Method

Sample No.	Soap- Borax- Alkali Ratio ²	Borax Added (Grams)	Borax Found (Grams)	% Recovery	Average % Recovery	Average Deviation
1A	3:8:1	0.3422	0.3418	99.9	99.8	0.3
1B	3:8:1	0.3470	0.3448	99.4		
10	3:8:1	0.3471	0.3474	100.1	•••••	
2A	2:3:3	0.2899	0.2873	99.1	97.6	1.0
$\overline{2B}$	2:3:3	0.2900	0.2806	96.8		
$2\mathrm{C}$	2:3:3	0.2850	0.2763	96.9		
3A	3:8:1	0.3473	0.3448	99.3	99,2	0.1
3B	3:8:1	0.3466	0.3438	99.2		
30	3:8:1	0.3484	0.3448	99.0	•••••	
4 A	2:3:3	0.2887	0.2599	90.0	90.2	0.2
4 B	2:3:3	0.2906	0.2629	90.5		
$\tilde{40}$	2:3:3	0.2882	0.2595	90.0		•••••
¹ Indu ² Samp	strial Test	Laboratory	. contain ti	risodium n	hosphate	samples

marked 3 and 4 contain sodium metasilicate, pentahydrate.

of Table VI illustrate the low results obtained for borax when the silicate content is high.

Summary

Based on the data presented, it is considered that the present method is superior to both the federal specification and Blank and Troy procedures in terms of more complete recovery of borax, better reproducibility, and shorter time required for analysis.

The present method differs from the Blank and Troy method in two essential features, namely, simultaneous removal of soap and silicates, phosphates, and carbonates by precipitation with strontium ion, and use of methyl purple instead of methyl red indicator during adjustment of the acidity of the solution prior to final titration with standard alkali. These differences are believed responsible for the more accurate and reproducible results obtainable by the present method as compared with those given by the Blank and Troy procedure.

It should be noted that the above procedure is limited to soaps and/or alkaline builders and cannot be applied to synthetic detergents that do not precipitate with strontium.

REFERENCES

Federal Specification P-S-536a of June 25, 1942, for Soap and Soap Products (Methods for Sampling and Testing).
 Official and Tentative Methods of the American Oil Chemists' Soci-ety: Standard Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Soap and Soap

ety: Standard Methods for the Computing and Intersets of Loop and Life Products (1943).
3. Blank and Troy, Oil and Soap, 23, pp. 50-55 (1946).
4. U. S. Patent No. 2,416,619.
5. "Scott's Standard Methods of Chemical Analysis," 5th Ed., D. Van Nostrand and Co. inc., New York, N. Y. (1939).
6. Manov et al., J. of Research, Nat. Bureau Standards, 33, p. 291 (1944). (1944).

The views in this article are those of the authors and should not be construed as the official views of the Navy Department.

[Received September 30, 1949]